From: Miyamoto, Faith

To: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; Spurgeon, Lawrence;

Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Ross.W.Stephenson@hawaii.gov

Sent: 1/4/2010 11:24:31 AM

Subject: FW: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Hi Pua -

Lawrence Spurgeon is researching this.

Faith

From: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov [mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 04, 2010 9:23 AM

To: Miyamoto, Faith

Subject: RE: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

when was Radford road built?

"Miyamoto, Faith" <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>

12/31/2009 03:04 AM

To <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>

cc <Ross.W.Stephenson@hawaii.gov>, <spurgeon@pbworld.com>, <aranda@infraconsultllc.com>

Subject RE: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Hi Pua -

Mason Architects explained the difference in the boundaries for Makalapa Housing are due to the purposes of the studies (Navy ICRMP and Historic work for our project). The 2002 ICRMP was an Integrated Cultural Resource Management Plan. The two housing areas were grouped together because they are relatively contiguous and shared a common function at the time of the 2002 ICRMP. The ICRMP also calls them "two distinct sub-areas ... Spatially and physically separated by natural topography and vehicular circulation" (page 3-219). Although the two housing areas were built abut the same time, the housing types are different and they originally housed different populations, with Little Makalapa housing civilians and the Makalapa proper housing Naval officers' families. Mason Architects identified Makalapa and Little Makalapa as two separate areas because the two areas are currently distinct.

According to Mason Architects, the ICRMP was designed to guide the Navy's management decisions concerning historic resources. The ICRMP also identified other management zones. For example, all of Ford Island was identified as one management zone in the ICRMP, but it includes a variety of resources.

Hope this resolves this issue. Let me know if you need more information.

Happy New Year!

Faith

----Original Message----

From: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov [mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov]

Sent: Wed 12/30/2009 10:00 AM

To: Miyamoto, Faith

Cc: Ross.W.Stephenson@hawaii.gov

Subject: RE: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Faith,

How did you get the boundaries for Makalapa that are shown in your attachments. Those should have been done by Mason and are different from the ICRMP, so I would like to know what those boundaries are based on.

thanks,

Pua

"Miyamoto, Faith" <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>

12/28/2009 01:23 PM

To

<Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>

CC

<Ross.W.Stephenson@hawaii.gov>, <Aranda@infraconsultllc.com>, "Spurgeon, Lawrence"

<Spurgeon@pbworld.com>, "Foell, Stephanie" <Foell@pbworld.com>

Subject

RE: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Hi Pua -

I may have been the one who you are referring to. After I received your voicemail messages that the boundaries for the Makalapa Navy Housing Area should reflect what is in the nomination form and that I should check with Ross Stephenson of your office, I did check with Ross. I was told that SHPD records show two areas, one for Makalapa and another for Little Makalapa. This was opposite from what the signatories had requested that we do, specifically to show Makalapa as one area that included Little Makalapa. When I spoke with Ross, he related that he needed to discuss this matter with you. Now you are saying that your records show the ICRMP boundaries, one area that includes both Makalapa and Little Makalapa?

Faith

From: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov [mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov]

Sent: Monday, December 28, 2009 8:14 AM

To: Miyamoto, Faith

Cc: Ross.W.Stephenson@hawaii.gov

Subject: RE: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

On Wednesday's phone call I thought I heard someone from the city say that they got the boundaries you are using from our office. Can you site a document so we can check. We don't seem to have boundaries for Makalapa other than the ICRMP.

Pua

"Miyamoto, Faith" <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>

To

<Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>

CC

<Aranda@infraconsultllc.com>, "Spurgeon, Lawrence" <Spurgeon@pbworld.com>,

<Ted.Matley@dot.gov>, <Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov>, "Foell, Stephanie" <Foell@pbworld.com>,

<Aranda@infraconsultllc.com>

Subject

RE: FW: Makalapa Eliqibility Forms

Hi Pua -

My understanding of the Section 106 process is that when you (SHPD) concurred with the eligibility of the Makalapa Navy Housing and the Little Makalapa Navy Housing you concurred with the boundaries that were defined for these resources as shown in the materials submitted; two separate resources. Subsequent to the eligibility concurrence, the SHPD then determined that there would be an Adverse Effect on Makalapa Navy Housing and a No Adverse Effect on Little Makalapa Navy Housing. Therefore, there is an effect on the PA.

I would like to discuss this with Nancy this afternoon at 2:30 p.m. The conference call information is as follows:

Conference Call Number 1-888-742-8686 Conference ID 9473301

If you have the time, please join us.

Thanks.

Faith

From: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov [mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 11:32 AM

To: Miyamoto, Faith

Cc: Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Ted.Matley@dot.gov;

Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov

Subject: Re: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Faith,

Thank you for sending the attached materials. SHPD agreed with your adverse effect determination for Makalapa and Little Makalapa, however there is no discussion of the boundaries for the two properties. It is our belief that the boundary should match the Navy ICRMP which treats the two Makalapa's as the Makalapa housing zone. We do not believe that this should affect the PA language as it does not change the effect determination.

If you have outstanding concerns please discuss with FTA.

Mahalo,

Pua

"Miyamoto, Faith" <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>

12/22/2009 12:40 PM

To <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov> cc <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>, "Spurgeon, Lawrence" <Spurgeon@pbworld.com>, <Aranda@infraconsultllc.com> Subject FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Hi Pua -

Attached are the eligibility forms that were prepared by the Rapid Transit Division for Makalapa and Little Makalapa Housing areas and submitted to your office for review. I am also transmitting in another file, the correspondence regarding this issue. Please provide us direction as to which boundary we should use.

Thanks.

Faith

From: Spurgeon, Lawrence [mailto:Spurgeon@pbworld.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 10:44 AM

To: Miyamoto, Faith

Subject: FW: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

From: Spurgeon, Lawrence

Sent: Monday, December 21, 2009 2:31 PM

To: Miyamoto

Cc: Foell, Stephanie; Hogan, Steven Subject: Makalapa Eligibility Forms

Faith, the attached forms and map are what SHPD concurred on for the eligibility determination. Because the districts have not been submitted for the register, this is the only acceptable Section 106 information that we have to work with.

Lawrence Spurgeon Parsons Brinckerhoff (808)768-6147 spurgeon@pbworld.com

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. [attachment "Makalapa Eligibility.pdf" deleted by Pua Aiu/DLNR/StateHiUS] [attachment "EligibilityReview.pdf" deleted by Pua Aiu/DLNR/StateHiUS]